

APPLICATION NO.

10/786,687

34082

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE

02/25/2004

11/03/2004

7590

CAPITAL SQUARE

400 LOCUST, SUITE 200 DES MOINES, IA 50309-2350

ZARLEY LAW FIRM P.L.C.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandris, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspo.gov

PAPER NUMBER

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. P06555US1 3013 EXAMINER PRONE, JASON D

> 3724 DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Mark A. Voves

•		<b>1</b>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
0.55	10/786,687	VOVES, MARK A.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jason Prone	3724
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da all apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed  ys will be considered timely,  n the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	• •	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r. :	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2004 is/are	: a)☐ accepted or b)⊠ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119/s	a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority drider 33 0.3.0. § 119(8	a)-(a) or (i).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	•
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		tion No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		
application from the International Bureau		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		ed.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/28/2004</u> .	6) [_] Other:	

Art Unit: 3724

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 28 May 2004 was considered by the examiner. However, cite "No. 7" Kalomeris et al. (6,738,402) was not considered due to the fact that the patent number and the inventor does not match.

The examiner has considered and cited the correct patent number Kalomeris et al. (6,378,402) on the form PTO-892 so a corrected IDS does not need to be submitted.

## Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because in Figure 2, it is unclear what vertical lines (circled and labeled as "A" on page 7 of this office action) are. These vertical lines do not appear in Figure 3. It is unclear what these lines represent and should be deleted from Figure 2 or added to Figure 3 for consistency. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as

Art Unit: 3724

as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: On page 2 line 29, item "24". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the shank" on line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/786,687

Art Unit: 3724

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Le Grand (1,196,252).

Le Grand discloses the same invention including an elongate hollow handle (10) having a forward end with an enclosed compartment having a shape to receive and engage the shank of a punch (12), a plunger slidably mounted in the handle (18) and having a forward end in the enclosed compartment (17), a spring associated with the plunger yieldingly urging the plunger into the enclosed compartment (19), an elongated slot in the handle (11), and a handle secured to the plunger and extending outwardly through the slot to permit manual grasping thereof to pull the plunger rearwardly against the spring (19).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Le Grand in view of Coulter (6,564,681). Le Grand discloses the invention but fails to disclose a

Art Unit: 3724

10. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Le Grand in view of Coulter (6,564,681). Le Grand discloses the invention but fails to disclose a storage compartment is provided in the handle for receiving a plurality of punches. Coulter a storage compartment that is provided in the handle for receiving a plurality of punches (Fig. 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided Le Grand with a storage compartment in the handle, as taught by Coulter, for added convenience so the user can have all the necessary tools at his disposal.

11. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Le Grand in view of Geier, Jr. (3,318,176). Le Grand discloses the invention but fails to disclose that the enclosed compartment has a frusto-shape having a flat head and tapered side walls. Geier, Jr. teaches an enclosed compartment having a frusto-shape having a flat head and tapered side walls (Fig. 5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided Le Grand with frusto-shaped enclosed compartment, as taught by Geier, Jr., to allow the tool to conform to the old and well known shape of most shanks.

### Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kalomeris et al., Todhunter, Young, Strabeck, Wallace, Haas, Cislo, Wang, and Thompson.

Art Unit: 3724

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Prone whose telephone number is 703-605-4287. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Mon - (every other) Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JP October 27, 2004 Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700 Art Unit: 3724

